



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/057,503	01/25/2002	David C. Issler	10294-607001	4327

7590 05/22/2003  
ST. ONGE STEWARD JOHNSON & REENS LLC  
986 BEDFORD STREET  
STAMFORD, CT 06905-5619

EXAMINER

STASHICK, ANTHONY D

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3728

DATE MAILED: 05/22/2003

4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

EC

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/057,503

Applicant(s)

Issler

Examiner

Anthony D. Stashick

Art Unit

3728

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

## Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 25 January 2002 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

## Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) \_\_\_\_.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) \_\_\_\_.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

**DETAILED ACTION**

***Drawings***

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description: reference numbers 20, 23 and 26 as shown in Figure 1; reference numbers 26, 32 and 40 as shown in Figure 2; reference numbers 36 and 28 as shown in Figure 3; reference numbers 52, 20b and 54 as shown in Figure 5. Correction is required.

2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: reference number 102 as cited on page 5, line 27. Also, Figure 7 does not exist as cited on page 6, line 19. Correction is required.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 3728

4. Claims 1-4, 7, 18-20, 23 and 26-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Haag 1,724,349. Haag '349 discloses all the limitations of the claims including the following: an outsole 1 having an inner surface (top surface of 1) including an opening 6; a footbed 7 positioned over the inner surface of the outsole; the footbed 7 having a lower surface including a plug 9 configured to be inserted into the opening (see Figures 1 and 4); the footbed formed of a material having a first hardness characteristic (made of rubber) that is less than an outsole material (for instance TPU or even wood) having a second hardness characteristic; the plug 9 is formed of a material having a first hardness characteristic that is less than an outsole material (for instance TPU or even wood) having a second characteristic; the footbed and the plug are formed of a material (both made of rubber) having substantially the same hardness; the opening is positioned in a heel area of the inner surface of the outsole (see Figures 1 and 4); an upper 2; an insole 7. With respect to claims 18-20 and 23, the limitations of these method steps are met by the presence of the limitations in the product shown.

5. Claims 1, 7, 18, 23 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schaff 1,717,122. Schaff '122 discloses all the limitations of the claims including the

Art Unit: 3728

following: an outsole B, A having an inner surface (that facing the inner portion of the shoe upper) including an opening D; a footbed H positioned over the inner surface of the outsole; the footbed having a lower surface including a plug E configured to be inserted into the opening (see Figure 1); the opening is positioned in a heel region of the inner surface (see Figure 1); an upper (see Figure 1); an insole H. With respect to claims 18 and 23, the limitations of these method steps are met by the presence of the limitations in the product shown.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 5, 6, 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haag 1,724,349 in view of Design Choice. Haag '349 discloses all the limitations substantially as claimed except for the specific hardnesses of the material used for the outsole, footbed and plug. It appears that it

Art Unit: 3728

would have been a mere matter of design choice to choose the hardness of the material to be used for the footbed, plug and outsole to meet the desired function of cushioning the user's foot upon impact and durability of the sole. Therefore, it would have been obvious, to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to make the footbed, plug and outsole out of a material made of any desired hardness to aid in cushioning the user's foot and prevent wear, as desired.

8. Claims 2-6, 19-22 and 27 are rejected under 35

U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schaff 1,717,122.

Schaff '122 discloses all the limitations substantially as claimed. Schaff '122 does not specifically teach the material used for the footbed, plug and outsole. However, Schaff '122 does teach that the footbed and plug are cushions and that the sole is made to survive normal wear and tear. Therefore, it would have been obvious, to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to make the cushion and plug out of a material that is less hard than the sole, to provide cushioning, while making the outsole out of harder material to survive normal wear and tear of the sole contacting the ground. With respect to the Shore hardness limitation, it appears that it would have been a mere matter of design choice to determine the hardness of the material to perform the desired function.

Art Unit: 3728

9. Claims 8-17 and 24-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over any one of the references as applied to claims 1 and 8 above in view of Lober 844,882. The references as applied to claims 1 and 8 above disclose all the limitations of the claims except for the plug having a cap section and a pedestal with a lip being formed by the cap. Lober '882 teaches that a plug depending from the bottom surface of a footbed and fitted within the opening of a sole can have a pedestal b and cap b' with the cap forming a lip extending past the perimeter of the pedestal. The lip of the cap preventing accidental removal of the plug from the opening of the sole. Therefore, it would have been obvious to make the plug of the references as applied to claims 1 and 8 above, with a pedestal and cap, as taught by Lober '882, to aid in holding the plug in the opening and prevent accidental removal of the plug from the opening. With respect to the perimeters of the cap and pedestal, Lober '882 meets these limitations in as much as that which is shown and disclosed by the applicant.

#### ***Conclusion***

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure are cited on form 892 enclosed herewith.

Art Unit: 3728

Telephone inquiries regarding the status of applications or other general questions, by persons entitled to the information, "should be directed to the group clerical personnel and not to the examiners. In as much as the official records and applications are located in the clerical section of the examining groups, the clerical personnel can readily provide status information without contacting the examiners", M.P.E.P. 203.08. The Group clerical receptionist number is (703) 308-1148.

If in receiving this Office Action it is apparent to applicant that certain documents are missing, e.g., copies of references cited, form PTO-1449, form PTO-892, etc., requests for copies of such papers or other general questions should be directed to Tech Center 3700 Customer Service at (703) 306-5648, email [CustomerService3700@uspto.gov](mailto:CustomerService3700@uspto.gov).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony D. Stashick whose telephone number is 703-308-3876. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 6:00 am until 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mickey Yu can be reached on 703-308-2672. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9302 for regular communications and 703-872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

Other helpful telephone numbers are listed for applicant's benefit.

Allowed Files & Publication	(703) 305-8322
Assignment Branch	(703) 308-9287
Certificates of Correction	(703) 305-8309
Drawing Corrections/Draftsman	(703) 305-8404/8335
Fee Increase Questions	(703) 305-5125
Intellectual Property Questions	(703) 305-8217
Petitions/Special Programs	(703) 305-9282
Terminal Disclaimers	(703) 305-8408
Informal Fax for 3728	(703) 308-7769

If the information desired is not provided above, or has been changed, please do not call the examiner (this is the latest information provided to him) but the general information help line below.

Information Help line	1-800-786-9199
Internet PTO-Home Page	<a href="http://www.uspto.gov/">http://www.uspto.gov/</a>



Application/Control Number: 10/057,503

Page 8

Art Unit: 3728

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Anthony Stashick". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a large initial 'A' and 'S'.

Anthony D. Stashick  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 3728

ADS

May 17, 2003